year we receive less than ten percent of our operating dollars from the Federal Corporation for Public Broadcasting. We must rely on the generous support of the local community of listeners to keep us on the air.

Therefore, we really do depend on the extent of the service we provide responsive to the needs and interests of our local listeners that we serve.

As a public broadcaster, WUNC is an editorial voice that speaks with a North Carolina accent. That is, we live and we breathe the stories we report. programming is personally very important to me.

1 d

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 d

21

23

I was moved by Congressman Price's discussion of his brief career at a 1,000 watt station, because when I became a broadcast journalist 25 years ago, the industry required each radio station to provide a minimum amount of local news and public affairs. I learned my craft at small stations just like that and practiced it in increasingly larger markets of commercial stations.

Although commercial stations no longer face specific local programming requirements, local news and public affairs programming remain the very foundation of 22 public broadcasting.

While commercial broadcasters still do perform many valuable services, and is ably documented by the panel here this evening, we are unique in our ability as a noncommercial station to dedicate enormous amounts of our time and resources to creating purely local programming.

The FCC can help support the strong local programming on non-commercial stations like WUNC. You can help by protecting the technical integrity of our broadcast signals by enforcing non-interference technical standards in a fair, reasonable and timely manner.

I'd like to point out that WUNC recently incurred an expensive and lengthy technical challenge at the FCC against an interfering translator station. I also want to point out that most public stations do not have the financial or legal resources to do so.

2đ

We very much encourage diverse voices and viewpoints and welcome new broadcasters to the spectrum, but we also want to point out that it should not be done -- the signal should not be at the expense of existing public stations that provide excellent service to listeners,

I also wish in closing to pay tribute to UNC television, public television stations serving more than two million viewers every week across the state, and also to the public TV station and the public radio station here in Charlotte. Their stewardship to the community sets a standard that is the envy of public television and radio broadcasters across the country.

My fuller statement is being submitted for the

record along with a voluminous set of letters from listeners and viewers to the local public -- to their local radio and television stations talking about the service that has been provided, and I thank you very much for this opportunity.

(Applause.)

2 di

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you for your presentation and we'll now allow questions of the panelists. And if you haven't filled out your card, please do so and send them forward. I invite my colleagues to ask any questions they might have.

I'd like to start with a question at least for the two commercial broadcasters and I think even public broadcasting would have something to say about it.

On the way down in the plane I was reading a number of E-mails that we've already begun to receive at our localism site about this area, and I want to read one criticism and ask a question about it.

This local resident writes: "I'd like to state my support for any initiative which allows more local controlled media outlet. The trend has been away from anything local in the way of radio broadcast. Every day we hear about radio stations being bought up by conglomerates, in many cases, American corporations.

Who's to say that they're -- what their agendas really are? They certainly don't have local community

interest at heart, and the end result is driving out local The corporation's, by necessities, desire is to increase its bottom line, and that's inherently incompatible with local interests."

A lot of times that is the sentiment that underlies the tension between commercial or corporate broadcasting and the public interest, that they are in some sense incompatible, that somehow serving the bottom line or being profitable is not consistent with that.

And I'd ask all of you to comment on that or offer some dimension to that if you'd like. I'd even be willing to bet that there are broadcasters on the other side who wish to speak to it to answer.

1 d

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 d

21

22

23

24

MR. KEELOR: Well, I'll attempt to answer that. think first of all one of the distressful things we see in television in a lot of the small markets is given the cost of competition and operation and particularly with the digital conversion, a lot of small market owners are in financial trouble.

That is, they make a profit, but they do not have the kind of resources to invest in the kind of services they might like to have. Those are individual cases. I will speak only to my own company.

I am proud to say that if you went into any of our 25 markets, I don't think anyone in the market would know who

Liberty Corporation is, and we designed it that way. We try very hard not to be a corporate entity. We are local television stations. Our local managers head the United Way, we do Red Cross blood drives, we do all those things that identifies us in the community.

So in Liberty Corporation's -- at least in our situation, I don't think that really applies because in our markets other than the little disclaimer we put up at the end of the newscasts that, you know, owned by Liberty Corporation, which is required by law as identification, no one knows who we are, and we like it that way.

1 d

11

12

14

15

2 d

21

23

24

We want them to know who the local management, the local talent, the local programming and the local station are.

MS. KWEI: Just to kind of follow up on that, I 14 would agree and I think most of the FM broadcast radio stations in the market pretty much do the same thing, and 18 that is we all stamp, if you will, our local stations versus our company headquarters. When we're on the air we speak of our individual stations, not the corporate owners.

Just to kind of follow up on what you said, I totally -- I agree with you somewhat and I agree with that person somewhat because I think that there is always, always a striving on our part to do better. I don't think any broadcaster in this room or elsewhere can honestly sit and

say that they're doing everything right.

1 d

2 Oi

On a day-to-day basis we sit and we meet locally, I think our COO and our director of sales nationally meet daily, weekly, trying to figure out ways and find ways in which we can do a better job.

Revenue is a big part of what we do. We are commercial radio stations, but at the same time we have a responsibility. In our case we have a responsibility to the community at large, we have a responsibility to the African-American community to uphold certain standards, to follow policies and guidelines set by the FCC, which we all do, and again, we try our best to do it better on a day-to-day basis.

So I hear that person loud and clear, and I think it would be very easy for us to sit here and say that that's not true, where what I think we're trying to say is to a certain degree it is true, but we have to do a better job daily in trying to overcome that.

MS. ROSE: Even as a public broadcaster certainly we can do more to reflect our local community. However, I think we are naturally aligned in the fact that our contributions and our operating dollars largely come from individual listeners. So to the extent that our programming is responsive to their needs, they will contribute. And if they don't contribute, then we know we are not meeting their

needs.

1d

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 d

22

23

We get a report card very quickly on that, so I would say I would agree with the other speakers that we could do more certainly and we intend to as our resources grow and as we meet the needs of listeners we anticipate the contributions will increase.

COMMISSIONER COPPS: Let me just ask one question while we wait for the cards, and I'll ask it of Jim Keelor. The right of a local station or an affiliated station to reject a program strikes a lot of people, including me, as integral to preserving localism and this raises its head particularly in the matter of indecent programming that might be contrary to the values of a community.

How important is the right to reject and is it a problem for you or for other independent stations that you know of to reject a network feed that you deem unacceptable to the values of the local community?

MR. KEELOR: Well, Commissioner Copps, I think you're correct in that the right to reject rule for 50 years the Commission has recognized that as a core of localism and 21 we'd like to see that continued.

The dynamics of the network affiliate relationship changed so much that it is more difficult to preempt network 24 programming. But I think you also have to realize that the right to reject rule insists that the licensee is really the sole determiner of the quality and content of a program for the local market.

And there are also times when a local program, be it a high school championship, a student debate, a town hall meeting, might take precedent over a network program. And that -- I'm not talking about a news event now, I'm talking about a community event. And I think a station should have the right to reject to do that.

In the dynamics that exist today, it is difficult to make that happen and getting more difficult. And I think that's why the affiliated stations group filed a petition to the Commission more than two years ago asking them to simply reaffirm, not create new law, but reaffirmed what has been a fifty year tradition of the right to reject.

1 d

q

And the petition is still there and has not been acted on, and I think that if you really want to see something that can drive localism and ensure it for the future, we would like to see the Commission clarify and reaffirm its fifty year support of the right to reject rule.

COMMISSIONER ADELSTEIN: Ms. Merritt, you said something that caught my attention. You said essentially that you have to pay for play on radio, that the label or you are forced to pay. That's commonly known as payola.

I'm wondering if you understand that's a violation of the law, that --

MS. MERRITT: Do you mean do I understand?

COMMISSIONER ADELSTEIN: Well, you --

MS. MERRITT: I have not violated the law. I understand it.

COMMISSIONER ADELSTEIN: Let me explain to you the situation, that the law requires that if a station requires payment for play of something on the radio, that that be disclosed. Now, that's pretty much acceptable for that to happen, but the station has to say brought to you by EMI Records is such and such an artist.

Now, you mentioned this was a line item on your statement as if they were charging you directly, and I'm just wondering if you're suggesting that payola is keeping local artists like yourself off of the radio.

2 d

MS. MERRITT: Well, there's a system in place and it's absolutely naive to think that pay for play doesn't go on. There are elaborate ways of independent promotion, that this completely happens. I'd like to cite an <u>Observer</u> article from Saturday, October 18th, about country radio.

And it says: "but Logan, the first subject in this, acknowledged that many veteran acts, veteran musical acts, now record for small independent labels that don't have a lot of promotional muscle."

I'll ask you, what do you think promotional muscle is? I think it's money; right? I mean, maybe you should

call these guys and ask them too, but there is certainly a system in place. You know, I've heard of people getting a bill from a radio station when they were played.

COMMISSIONER ADELSTEIN: That's something that deserves further investigation. Certainly I'll follow up this question to you, Joan Siefert Rose.

You said that Tift Merritt could be heard on WUNC. Do you think that has anything to do with the fact that you're a non-commercial station so obviously payola doesn't enter the picture? I mean, does that possibly have to do with any evidence of this kind of activity in the radio business?

1 d

2 d

MS. ROSE: You know, I really can't speak on behalf of commercial broadcasters here. I only know that our policies are to give exposure to local artists. This is part of our mandate as a non-commercial station.

And one of the programs that we have on the .

weekend really focuses on traditional music and bluegrass
music and country music for the area. So Tift is a very
logical artist for us to play, very popular with our
listeners. So in our case we really are motivated to
provide talent and recordings that we think would be
something that our listeners would like to hear, and that's
about as simple as it gets.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: I'd like to read a couple

questions here. I'd like to read two of them and then maybe we'll go into the open mike session and continue the discussion.

The first is for Mr. Keelor. It's in reference to free time for candidates during debates and candidate interviews.

"Isn't it a legal requirement for licensing in exchange for use of the public airwaves? What measures do you take to ensure fair and equal airtime for all candidates, and does that include third-party candidates?"

2 d

MR. KEELOR: It does, sir. All of the Liberty stations during the last mayoral elections offered candidates free time in various formats other than newscasts. A five, three-minute, two-minute segment where they could come in and tape any statement they wanted to make and it would be carried in various day parts; some in prime, some late night, some early and so forth. So we made that effort.

We've also opened up, and we were disappointed by the candidates' response to our offer of a free internet platform. We asked them to put their campaign positions, their bios and so forth on our websites. And because our stations are, for the most part, I think 13 of 15 are number one stations, we drive a lot of viewers to our websites. And we were disappointed that only one or two took advantage of

that.

14

15

191

2 d

221

23

24

25

I think my point here is we have multiple platforms now to make candidates available. If we can get digital up and running and can multicast, I see a huge opportunity for us to provide more airtime to candidates using the digital spectrum, and I think that's in our company's game plan.

So free time is something we do routinely. We certainly provide a lot of coverage during our newscasts. We have done live debates which have been picked up by national networks and we have offered our website. 'So we're trying to give them multiple platforms. We do not always get the cooperation of the candidates that we would like to get.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you. A second question 16 which I think is interesting: :"Will calls for more public service from broadcast stations be used as an excuse to punish smaller stations not affiliated with large corporations?

For example, a small and independent station has a much harder time maintaining profits than a corporate affiliated station that can afford to run at a loss by borrowing profits from other partner stations. For that reason corporate stations must be held to a higher standard."

What I think is interesting in the question is the suggestion that if there were government mandates for public interest obligations, should they be in some ways graduated, given the nature of the station; that a smaller station under perhaps greater financial difficulty would have fewer public interest obligations.

MR, KEELOR: What I was alluding to before in the smaller markets is that to provide good service, you must have the resources to do so. And the fifth station, the WB station in Sioux City, Iowa may not have those resources.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 d

21

22

23

25

I think public interest standards in some degree are acceptable. I don't object to them philosophically. What I have always objected to them is the basis that they are terrible administrative burdens, and that we spend so much time administering what the government wants done that we could be devoting to serving the public. That's been my concern with going back to the Fairness Doctrine and various other things.

But to answer specifically, I think they need to be applied uniformly if you're going to have them. The Commission question to what degree you need them. obviously in the past several years has believed we do not.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: The next question, -- and we'll 24 take a few minutes to get through more of these before we go to open mic since they are also from the audience.

The question here, to discuss the personal attack regulations: "If a radio station broadcasts a personal attack on a non-public figure, is the station required to provide a copy of the attack to the individual? What are the penalties for not following the personal attack regulation?"

I believe I can answer this question. Commission had personal attack rules which were ultimately struck down in court and don't currently exist in the 10 Commission rules as a consequence of a case called RTNDA (phonetic), if I recall correctly, so regrettably we don't have rules that we're capable of enforcing in that area at the moment.

In order to criticize the Chair, I should ask this question. "Why are the questions from the audience being filtered by the staff members before they get to the Commissioners?"

(Laughter.)

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN POWELL: I don't know. I hope they're 20 not. We'll do the best we can to get to all the questions fairly, and you'll be provided with open mic time and nobody will be filtering through the microphone. So if we are, I apologize for that.

A question for our broadcast owners: 25 efforts do you take to try to inform your employees about a station's -- from the station's perspective about guidelines for airing local artists? Do you have some outlines or training for employees to help with this?"

MS. KWEI: Yes, we do. And I have to tell you, over the last several months or the last, really, last year it's gotten a lot better where we have a -- we have some ' standards set in place where weekly our program directors and our music directors are instructed by myself, the general manager, and it comes from the headquarters, that we 10 have to allow access for local artists and independent labels to pitch their product, quite frankly. We do that on a weekly basis.

Often times it may be a face-to-face meeting or it may be a phone conversation, but we have put those measures in place over the last several months in an effort, once 16 again, to do better.

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2 Q

21

22

We have a program, as I mentioned in my opening statement, on Sunday evenings called Heat From the Street, and it is hosted by some young local people, and the primary goal of that program a lot like the bluegrass program, is to provide a platform for local artists to be heard.

We listen for what the public wants, and often 23 times -- and I did mention this one artist named Sherica, --24 we will hear a lot of rave reviews from some particular 25 artist that will end up getting play on our radio station.

Or in our cases we have invited a lot of our local artists who have risen to that point to perform at some of our events.

So those are some of the efforts that we have instituted to make sure that we're doing the best that we can, and again on a day-to-day basis trying to get better.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: "What ideas do any of you have as broadcasters to make local coverage of local political campaigns a lot more interesting?

From my perspective, simply airing debates isn't enough, and ads often turn voters off. Can anyone be more creative?" Good luck with that one.

(Laughter.)

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

MR. KEELOR: Sometimes we're the victims of the subject matter we have to cover.

(Applause.)

Ironically, because we are not a newspaper and you cannot print another page, we have a finite amount of airtime and a finite amount of commercial time. And it is true in our stations' cases that we are not able to devote as much time to maybe to the agricultural secretary race or something like that, that we do the county commission, city council and so forth. I think we have to prioritize and I think the public understands that.

But the invitation that we made for free time went

out to all candidates and the internet offer went out to all candidates. So that's when we were really disappointed that we really didn't get access to that because I think it's our obligation to provide access and to provide the platform, but I don't see any way we can make the candidates come or make the people see it. MS. ROSE: In our case we do have some long form interview programs also where candidates have a chance to talk at length about their platforms and interests. We tend 1 d to focus on larger races, senate races, statewide races or congressional races as opposed to township races. But that 11 opportunity is there, particularly when a race is heated. 12 13 CHAIRMAN POWELL: To Ms. Merritt, there's a question for you. "What other means can be used to keep the 14 airwaves free other than having to rely on commercial 15 advertising?" 16 MS. MERRITT: Well, commercial advertising, do you 17 18 mean air play? CHAIRMAN POWELL: I don't know. 19 MS. MERRITT: It's kind of the same thing, isn't 2 d it? 21 22 CHAIRMAN POWELL: Well, if I can elaborate. 23 MS. MERRITT: Please do.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: I'm reading the mind of someone,

but I think the suggestion is one thing that we keep in mind

24

is that in our system of broadcasting, the government doesn't fund or subsidize the media at all.

In a lot of countries, like Commissioner Adelstein mentioned, in England, the government deals with a lot of the concerns we're raising by having a government-sponsored medium, the BBC. Citizens are taxed roughly \$150 per year, regardless if they're watching in order to subsidize the cost of that programming.

And we certainly have public broadcasting in the United States, so the commercial motives of advertising are absolutely essential to the survival of stations in our system. So I guess maybe someone's reacting to your point about advertising and being all they care about.

MR. KEELOR: Mr. Chairman, --

MS. MERRITT: Well, it's my turn.

MR. KEELOR: Go ahead.

MS. MERRITT: I, you know, I really am just taking what the Clear Channel president said, so I'm not changing his words and, you know, I think that my impression was I'm very confused about what the question was.

Are there other means for me besides the radio? Yes, there certainly are. And if you'll read my bio, I think you'll see that I have used them very well. I tour all around the country and in Europe, and I get a lot of exposure in magazines.

Unfortunately it's very difficult to even break even as a musician, and there is no contesting that the radio is the main source that people turn to when they want to hear a song. And so as a musician and my colleagues who are musicians and artists, to have access to that medium defiltered for the majority in this country through two or three large companies really means that our chances are very, very slim.

And, you know, I agree with you, Commissioner, that I'm very proud that the government does not get involved with radio in this country, that the media is run on its own, that it's not a government media.

1 d

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

2 d

21

22

23

24

25

But by the same hand, these companies are really being allowed to go -- they are given -- right now they have protection because they can become as large as they want and they can be concerned with their bottom line, when really what the FCC in my opinion, humble opinion, is about is 18 protecting the airwaves and the people and not these larger companies and their interest in profit. And, you know, I think it's great that they're doing well, but their job is content.

I'm going to ask two more CHAIRMAN POWELL: questions and then we're going to start with the open mic session.

Without public service, specific public service

performance standards, how do you as broadcasters know how to meet and exceed community expectations?

MS. KWEI: Well, in our situation there may not be particular guidelines, but quite frankly our company sets their own guidelines and what our expectations are on a local level. Radio One expects us to provide a certain amount of public service airtime for local organizations, organizations, events, fundraising and things of that nature.

We also, as part of our marketing and promotions department, and I would say this is probably station by station, we reach out to local organizations monthly and annually trying to find opportunities in which we can help them get the word out about their particular event, in some cases partner up with them and make their event even larger than what it might have not been had it not been for Radio One's involvement.

2 d

So again, just in our isolated situation we're told, quite frankly, what the expectation is. And it's up to me as the general manager to make sure on a day-to-day basis that we're delivering that expectation.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: And finally we're asked this question, because I want to take a chance and answer it. "Local ownership has lost control and diversity. We need efforts there to ensure minority ownership and more

programming diversity. What could we do?"

**d** 

I wanted to use that question to take an opportunity to talk about the FCC recently launched a diversity task force, or what we call a federal advisory committee, that is focused intensely on the question of minority and female roles in the media and the communications industry at large.

It's composed by leading citizens throughout the country who are dedicated to a successful commitment to diversity. They had their first meeting and are actively working on policies and recommendations to the Commission and the government that we can follow consistent with the legal restraints to promote diversity.

And I know that Congressman Watt mentioned the importance of that, and I want to invite his participation in that and let you all know that and answer that question.

So with that, Madam Secretary, why don't you announce for us the procedures for the open mic and we'll proceed to that section?

SECRETARY DORTCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Members of the audience who wish to speak should line up at the nearest lectern. We will alternate lecterns during the session. If you are in the overflow rooms and wish to speak, please come to the meeting chamber and we will accommodate as many as the fire marshall permits. A staff

member at each lectern will let you know when it is your turn to speak.

In the interest of letting as many people present their views as possible, speakers should limit their remarks to no more than two minutes. The green light will signal for the first one and a half minutes. When the yellow light signals, you will have thirty seconds to sum up your remarks.

Please try to observe these minutes. We also ask that you turn off your cell phones. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Yes, sir.

1 d

11

12

13

14

15

14

17

2 d

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RASH: Mr. Chairman, my name is Dennis Rash.

I am chairman of the North Carolina Bicycle Committee, and if I may add a word of welcome to Charlotte and North Carolina.

The North Carolina Bicycle Committee was created by the North Carolina General Assembly to assist local governments in developing policies and standards for planning and maintaining and operating bikeways safely across North Carolina. We're advisory to the Secretary of the Department of Transportation and to the Board of Transportation in furtherance of this policy.

North Carolina has the second largest state maintained road system in the United States. We are specifically charged with representing the interests of